Foreign economic boycott may force change of policy—analysts

A JAPAN-LED economic boycott by the international community could force change in Burma, where a military government crushed pro-democ-racy forces and now faces an angry populace and an economic crisis, some analysts say.
But others following

chaotic events in the Southeast Asian nation say they are skeptical outside pressure could affect the top leadership, given its 26 year long re-

regard of earlier calls for reform.

"There's very little le-verage. If the outside world holds up its hands

by Denis D. Gray of

in horror, they are still going to do what they think is necessary," one Bangkok-based diplomat

Japan, which accounts for some 80 percent of for-eign loans and grants, has stopped sending assistance in recent weeks West Germany, the No. 2 Char, froze its aid on September 5. Washington an nounced last week it was suspending all but hu-manitarian help.

These countries along

ty, Great Britain, Soviet Union, Sweden and others have either expressed their concern over the violence or support for demo-cratic forces in recent

with Australia, China, the European Communi-

Experts say the recent political turmoil has cripoled an economy that had already been on a rapid

already been on a rapid downward spiral.

Now, factories have halted production, trans-port has been paralysed and foreign currency re-serves are virtually at zero while the foreign debt has climbed to more than US\$4 billion.

"They're in such bad shape that if the Japanese and others just say absolutely no more until there is some kind of de-mocracy, there would be changes," American ecoomic consultant Robert Nathan said in an interview. But Nathan, who has

spent several years in Burma, said any econom-ic boycott would have to be accompanied by con-tinued popular — and probably bloody — resisprobably bloody — resis-tance headed by a unified oppositon coalition. He said the Japanese had the greatest economic

clout in Burms. Actions of clout in Burms. Actions of the United States, with only a modest aid pro-gramme of some \$14 mil-lion, could have a signifi-cant "moral,

cant "moral, psychological" impact. In the past, Burma has been impervious to criti-cism by international ham man rights groups such as Amnesty International Amnesty International and even to advice on economic policy by such ma-jor aid donors as the World Bank

Shunning all private foreign investment, Bur-ma risked offending its biggest donor by closing down the Rangoon offices of Japanese companies in

Advocating strict neutrality, Burms pulled out of the non-aligned movement in 1979 and has taken other steps to mini-mise outside influence.

Many analysts say this policy was instituted by strongman Ne Win, in part to better assure his

grip on power.

"There are no global powers colliding in Burma Burma has been in the backwaters for 26 years," said Swedish Bur-ma expert Bertil Lintner.

He said neither the So-viet Union and the United States nor giant neighbours China and India had real leverage with the Burmese leaders, and that all major powers have avoided interference for fear of provoking the

Despite critical hu-manitarian needs, including basic medical supplies at hospitals overflowing with wounded victims, international ternational emergency aid has to date been min-

"Maybe if you had good television footage of sol-diers shooting down stu-dents in cold blood on the streets of Rangoon you would get international response," said one American journalist.

American Burma ex-pert David Steinberg said a "carrot and stick ap-proach" could induce

change.
While halting all aid at the present, the major do-nors should at the same time formulate a compre-. hensive assistance programme to be implemented when a pluralistic system emerges in Burma, he said.

In the past, key donors, which include the World Bank and Asian Development Bank, have provided as much as half a billion dollars a year in assistance to prop up the

assistance to prop up the faltering economy. West Germay last year promised \$36 million, while Japan offered \$244.1 million in 1986, \$172 million last year and only \$27.5 million so far in 1988.

OA/1939/14.5/13004

Gremlin joins Saw Maung broadcast

AN unknown voice cut into the radio transmis-sion of the first broadcast speech by coup leader den Saw Maung, making ad-verse comments on the

verse comments on the speech.

"This is bluffing," a faint voice said in Burmese as the general claimed on Friday night he wanted to prevent misunderstandings between the defence forces and the records. people. When

people.

When Saw Maung spoke of "unscrupulous people" instigating demonstrations against the

regime, the voice broke in to say "unscrupulous, unscrupulous in you are the unscrupulous ones".

At least two people monitoring and taping

the broadcast confirmed the interruption, but said it was difficult to determine its source.

They said the much lower signal level of the interruption suggested it did not come from the broadcast studio.

It was possible that it could be jamming on the same frequency, they told UPI.